

MAPPA Executive Summary of Claimant's Level Three Classification

Claimant's Observations (by marked paragraphs)

A.

Citing from Wikipedia:

1. *Level Three* are sometimes called the "critical few". These are offenders posing the highest possible level of risk to the public and normally necessitates a specific case conference to pool unusual agency resources and ensure a strategically coordinated risk management plan. These might be predatory sex offenders, recidivist arsonists, extremely violent offenders, dangerously [mentally ill](#) offenders, domestic terrorists or people with dangerous [personality disorders](#). At each MAPPA meeting, agencies have to share often confidential information, and will in many cases adopt a press strategy.
2. [Services](#) are based in [psychiatric hospitals](#) or in the [community](#). Diagnoses are made by [psychiatrists](#) or [clinical psychologists](#) using various methods, often relying on observation and questioning in interviews. Treatments are provided by various [mental health professionals](#). [Psychotherapy](#) and [psychiatric medication](#) are two major treatment options as are [social](#) interventions, [peer support](#) and [self-help](#). In some cases there may be [involuntary detention](#) and [involuntary treatment](#) where legislation allows.
3. The Cardiff County Court Judgment of 30th November 2010 ruled disclosure but what was actually disclosed by the South Wales' solicitors?
4. A purported summary of eight monthly summaries of meetings, held between 8th June and 17th December 2009, written eight months later, on 24th August 2010!
5. It discloses no information as to when or what was before the police 'Independent Advisory Group' instigating the arrest of the Claimant by armed police. Nor does it identify the participants in any of the meetings making the suggestion for 'third parties' approaching anyone for scrutiny - equally farcical.
6. For all but one meeting, held in Barry Police Station, they were dominated by Caswell Clinic staff and held in South Wales Police's forensic psychiatric prison, working on erroneous information, partly supplied by the Defendant in the civil action. Did it ring alarm bells with someone?

B. This led to seriously incorrect criminal convictions published on the psychiatric reports without being corrected, despite repeated interventions by the Claimant at each of his many bail applications.

C. Claimant did nothing of the sort. The United States Authorities accepted he had broken no law whatsoever and was no threat, when he landed outside the restricted zone, surrounding the US President's ranch, in a farmer's field. Similarly, the Metropolitan Police report of the Claimant wishing to deliver, to His Royal Highness The Prince of Wales, a letter on the appalling state of the Welsh judiciary, was likewise deemed of no threat.

D. It was the Claimant's letter to Barry police of 4th October 2008, never answered, asking for identification and progress in forty odd police incidents that led to the Claimant's abuse of Process Application in November, with a court order by the Chief Constable to disclose.

To this day this has not been done. For, to identify the police at each incident, would allow the Claimant further witnesses for trial of the conspiracy, orchestrated by senior personnel of the South Wales Police force, as well as the Crown Prosecution Service. Paras 14 to 21 of Barbara Wilding's sworn affidavit indicate a reluctance to admit that court cases in Barry Magistrates and other incidents, using covert police surveillance, ever took place.

The Claimant was not 'taken' to Bridgend police station. He drove there and filed a written complaint of falsification of a court document, which was promptly shredded. Following the anticipated conduct of the Welsh police, he filed a private prosecution on the matter, currently in process.

E. MJK had that lawful right as does any other member of the public. Bringing private prosecutions in the criminal courts is the only way forward.

F. All this related to the need to serve witness summonses on police personnel to support proof that court cases took place and that there was a ten year conspiracy of harassment and malicious prosecution.

A preliminary police enquiry of the August 2008 photograph, of the Claimant holding a three legged Jack Russell Terrier and a decommissioned WW2 Lewis machine gun, will have established both gun and the aircraft, to which it was attached, documented in the log books, was already sold to a man who then altered the legal status of the 'gun', but was essential as a prosecution witness to prevent the Claimant being at liberty to press home his now seriously damaging civil claim started in 1993, but blocked since.

G. Meaning what?

H. Police, Crown Prosecution and HHJ Peter Thomas, Trial judge, all refused disclosure of the obvious investigation now admitted in this MAPPA 'summary of summaries'.

I. It appears to be an offence or an expensive worry to a policeman and tax payer that he is unable to predict Maurice's thought patterns. Level of meetings, 3, meaning just who, exactly, were sitting around that table?

J. Who is Mr Kirk's psychiatrist? This Mr Metters was refused information as he was obviously a plant.

K. But when? The gun had been sold for over a year as a licenced piece of British registered aircraft clearly displayed in a public museum from August 08 onwards. No wonder the English police refused to have anything to do with it.

J. The list relates to the imminent civil action for damages, due in January 10, with police and welsh courts , in turn, blocking the lawful requests for disclosure of witnesses at each of about 200 incidents .

M., N., O. Disclosure to the list given was not done, why not?

P. MJK obtained access to Chief Constables reception area for an appointment, well inside police HQ, to exchange witness documents by court order, only to be later surrounded by armed police in tin hats carrying CS gas and smoke grenades!

Q. A pack of lies.

1. January 2010 Crown Court heard police evidence MJK never had owned a shot gun or fire arms certificate!

2. All guns at home were lawfully held, where MJK was concerned. If not, why was there no mention of it in the pre-trial disclosure or raised in the trial as further offences?

3. Lewis gun was recovered by English, not Welsh police, the latter refusing to be entangled in the scandal, well aware of its status given by its current owner.

R. "Further results of tests and opinions awaited". Exactly what went before HHJ Bidder QC on 2nd Dec 09, falsified by Dr Williams and Professor Roger Wood in one last ditch attempt to stop the machine gun trial going public?

S. MJK refused to have further tests, after reading Professor Roger Wood's September 09 letter to Dr Ruth Bagshaw, warning Maurice they were now trying for a Section 41 ,Broadmoor

for life tactic, now Williams had run out of his statutory Section 35 incarceration of twelve weeks in a mental hospital.

T. A complete fairy tale. Since when and by whom? Where is the documentation to support?

U. Just what is this fabrication? Who is MJK's psychiatrist? Williams spoke in 2nd December 09 Crown Court, when he was no longer his compulsory psychiatrist. So who is it now?

V., W. None of this was officially made known to the prisoner throughout his seven months in custody. MJK never knew until late autumn he was even on MAPPa and has never been told why he was removed on 17th December 09 whilst still in custody!

X. 22/10/09 meeting CPS told to oppose bail to 'allow further assessment' that never happened, only fabricated in 2nd December 09 Crown Court!

Y. Is the key to all this. The civil action was their target from the very beginning. Interesting HM Prison denied twice, in writing, any knowledge of Maurice being on MAPPa, after their invite to attend the 17th December 2009 meeting in Caswell Clinic, Bridgend.

Z. In their death throws and desperate attempt to stop the civil action proceeding: get MJK registered as **Vexatious Litigant!** [see leaked HM Attorney General internal memos on the subject dating back to 2003].